

Family Name	Dent
Given Name	Gillian
Person ID	1287226
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Dent
Given Name	Gillian
Person ID	1287226
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The evidence on air quality seems woefully inadequate for this area. Monitoring stations are at a distance from the area and statements about mitigations ring hollow and insincere when there is no accurate information about what has to be mitigated against. I am not an expert in air quality, but I don't need to be. As a resident who has regularly walked down Mort Lane, through Mosley Common, and towards Tyldesley I have had to breath in the polluted air, and this is from moving traffic.</p> <p>When it comes to peak times when standing traffic is a regular occurrence, I am usually one of those in the traffic queue. There has already been considerable development through Mosely Common adding to the traffic through the area. A further increase in traffic from this proposed development will result in a substantial increase in pollution, not least because queues of standing traffic will increase.</p> <p>Mort Lane is already a busy cut through by local traffic as well as lorries to the A580. There have been a number of accidents over the last few years on Mort Lane (just from the railroad bridge to the mini roundabout) alone. These include one fatal accident, four serious ones, and six slight accidents. The fatal accident took place at just about the place the proposed development will have one of its main access roads.</p> <p>The "poor semi-improved grassland" referred to and to be developed is of course important green belt. It is also provides a massive natural soak for rainwater. Mort Lane is regularly closed over the winter due to flooding or partial flooding. A number of attempts to resolve the problem seemed to have been ineffective, and the flooding continues. The "poor semi-improved grassland" near Mort Lane often has large areas of standing water during the winter. What is going to happen to all that water when the area is built over?</p>

<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Get air monitoring stations along the main road in Mosley Common and at the junction with Mort Lane. Get accurate information about the current pollution before attempting to unleash yet still further pollution on the current residents. Then make that public along with the increased pollution likely to be created by the proposed development. Match that against national recommendations of 'acceptable' pollution!</p> <p>Carry out monitoring of traffic flow through Mosley Common and Mort Lane. Justify how these roads can handle even greater traffic volume, together with additional entrances and exits to that main thoroughfare.</p> <p>Sort out once and for all the flooding issues on Mort Lane, before beginning to take away an area which is providing a natural soak to reduce flooding which is already taking place.</p> <p>Make a better case for why green belt is being destroyed when brown sites are available.</p>
--	---